7....-2 F::12:48

CAPITAL AREA LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2002

RECIPIENT NO. 619010

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document. A copy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court.

Release Date  $\frac{5}{103}$ 

## RECIPIENT NO. 619010

### CONTENTS

	-
	Page
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1 and 3
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statements of financial position	4 and 5
Statements of activities and changes in net assets	6 and 7
Statements of cash flows	8
Notes to financial statements	9-18
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of revenues and expenses -	
Legal Services Corporation	20
Schedule of revenues and expenses -	
Louisiana Bar Foundation - IOLTA Grant	21
Schedule of expenditures of Federal awards	22
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT	
OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN	
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	23 and 24
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE	
TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER	
COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133	25 and 26
Schedule of findings and questioned costs	27-37
Schedule of prior year findings	38 and 39



122 East 5th St.

P.O. Drawer 307

Crowley, Louisiana

70527-0307

phone: (337) 783-0650

fax: (337) 783-7238

Other Offices:

Lafayette, LA (337) 988-4930

Opelousas, LA (337) 942-5217

Abbeville, LA (337) 898-1497

New Iberia, LA (337) 364-4554

Church Point, LA (337) 684-2855

Eugene C. Gilder, CPA\* Donald W. Kelley, CPA\* Herbert Lemoine II, CPA\* Frank A. Stagno, CPA\* Scott J. Broussard, CPA 5. L. Charles Abshire, CPA\* Kenneth R. Dugas, CPA+ P. John Blanchet Hl. CPA\* Stephen L. Lambousy CPA 5 Craig C. Babaneaux, CPA\* Peter C. Borrello, CPA 1. George J. Trappey III. CPA. Gregory B. Milton, CPA. S. Scott Sorleau, CPA 1 Patrick D. McCarthy, CPA\* Martha B. Wyatt, CPA\* Troy J. Breaux, CPA \* Fayetta T, Dupre', CPA\* Mary A. Castille, CPA\* Joey L. Breaux, CPA\* Terrel P. Dressel, CPA\*

Retired:
Sidney L. Broussard, CPA 1980
Leon K. Poche', CPA 1984
James H. Breaux, CPA 1987
Erma R. Walton, CPA 1988
George A. Lewis, CPA\* 1992
Geraldine J. Wimberley, CPA\* 1995
Rodney U. Savoy, CPA\* 1996
Larry G. Broussard, CPA\* 1996
Lawrence A. Cramer, CPA\* 1999
Michael P. Crochet, CPA\* 1999
Ralph Friend, CPA 2002

## BROUSSARD, POCHE', LEWIS & BREAUX, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors Capital Area Legal Services Corporation Baton Rouge, Louisiana

We have audited the accompanying statement of financial position of Capital Area Legal Services Corporation (a nonprofit organization) as of December 31, 2002, and the related statements of activities and changes in net assets and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. The financial statements of Capital Area Legal Services Corporation as of December 31, 2001, were audited by other auditors whose report dated May 3, 2002, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the provisions of the Audit Guide for Recipients and Auditors and the Compliance Supplement for Audits of LSC Recipients, issued by Legal Services Corporation. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Capital Area Legal Services Corporation as of December 31, 2002, and the changes in its net assets and cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated April 3, 2003, on our consideration of Capital Area Legal Services Corporation's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

To the Board of Directors Capital Area Legal Services Corporation

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements of Capital Area Legal Services Corporation taken as a whole. The accompanying schedules listed in the table of contents, including the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards, as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

BROUSSARD, POCHÉ, LEWIS & BREAUX, L.L.P.

Crowley, Louisiana April 3, 2003

# STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION December 31, 2002 and 2001

	2002	2001
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Cash on deposit for other LSC recipients Grants and contracts receivable Rent receivable Pledges receivable - current portion Other current receivables Prepaid expenses	\$ 38,359 6,975 5,854 10,168 9,065 12,545	\$ 172,020 20,500 38,695 6,325 15,042
Total current assets	\$ 82,966	\$ 272,706
RESTRICTED ASSETS  Cash and cash equivalents:  Client trust accounts - active  Client trust accounts - inactive  Total restricted assets	\$ 22,790 39,751 62,541	\$ 35,884 25,731 61,615
NONCURRENT ASSETS Pledges receivable - noncurrent portion Utility deposits  Total noncurrent assets	\$ 7,362 253 7,615	\$ 27,278 253 27,531
FIXED ASSETS, at cost, net of accumulated depreciation of \$293,288 and \$258,702 for 2002 and 2001, respectively	\$ 564,540	\$ 558,041
Total assets	\$  717,662	\$ 919,893

See Notes to Financial Statements.

.

	<u>2002</u>		2001
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS			
CURRENT LIABILITIES (payable from current assets) Accounts payable Accrued liabilities Undistributed State grant funds to other LSC	\$ 15,369 7,756	\$	32,897 8,079
recipients Accrued annual leave Deferred revenue Notes payable - current portion	- 37,080 461 42,012		20,500 37,080 124,634 55,044
Total current liabilities (payable from current assets)	\$ 102,678	\$	278,234
CURRENT LIABILITIES (payable from restricted assets) Client trust deposits - active Client trust deposits - inactive	\$ 22,790 39,751	\$	35,916 25,731
Total current liabilties (payable from restricted assets)	\$ 62,541	\$	61,647
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES Notes payable - long-term portion	\$ 438,304	\$	454,403
Total liabilities	\$ 603,523	\$	794,284
NET ASSETS Temporarily restricted: Legal Services Corporation:	•		
Undesignated Property Non-LSC	\$ (10,004) 109,724 14,419	\$	19,905 63,384 42,320
Total net assets	\$ 114,139	ş	125,609
Total liabilities and net assets	\$ 717,662	\$	919,893

- - - -

# STATEMENTS OF ACTIVITIES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS Year Ended December 31, 2002 With Comparative Totals for Year Ended December 31, 2001

Temporarily Restricted Legal Total - All Funds Services Non-LSC Property <u>2002</u> <u>2001</u> Corporation Support and revenues: \$ 1,495,638 \$ 1,495,638 \$ 1,492,348 Legal Services Corporation Louisiana Bar Foundation: 105,533 105,533 105,533 IOLTA Grant Equal Justice Conference Award 1,400 Elderly Protective Services 146,266 146,266 162,064 Councils on Aging: Capital Area Agency on Aging, 18,617 18,617 10,867 Inc. 15,620 15,620 15,047 Various Councils on Aging Parish Governments: 15,000 Ascension Parish Government 15,000 12,400 4,200 Assumption Parish Police Jury 4,200 4,200 City of Baton Rouge - Parish of East Baton Rouge 39,290 39,290 40,530 Iberville Parish Government 10,000 10,000 10,000 Pointe Coupee Parish Police 5,800 5,800 5,800 Jury St. James Parish Government 5,800 5,800 5,800 St. John the Baptist Parish 10,000 10,000 Government 10,000 Terrebonne Parish 15,000 Gonsolidated Government 15,000 14,600 West Feliciana Parish Police 6,030 6,030 6,030 Jury Entergy Charitable Foundation 25,000 25,000 25,000 60,000 Imperial Foods Local attorneys and law firms 45,820 State of Louisiana: 58,060 58,060 Computer Upgrade Assistance 43,233 13,500 Merger Assistance 13,500 9,000 Gillis W. Long Poverty Law 4,000 4,000 4,400 Center Court Filing Fees: 15,706 15,706 17,201 Baton Rouge City Court 19th Judicial District Court 11,290 11,290 16,286 10,708 10,708 Family Court 5,950 54,866 54,866 Rental income 62,743 62,743 275,803 Donated services 1,612 254 1,866 10,748 Interest income 4,015 421 4,436 4,627 Miscellaneous income Total support and revenues

(Continued)

\$ 2,414,687

\$ 2,154,969

653,704

\$ 1,501,265

(forward)

STATEMENTS OF ACTIVITIES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS (CONTINUED)
Year Ended December 31, 2002
With Comparative Totals for Year Ended December 31, 2001

	Temporarily Restricted									
		Legal Services						Total -	A11	Funds
	<u>C</u>	orporation		Non-LSC		Property		<u>20</u> 02		<u>2001</u>
Total support and revenues										
(forwarded)	\$ —	1,501,265	\$ -	653,704	\$ - <del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	\$	2,154,969	\$	2,414,687
Expenses:										
Salaries and wages:										
Lawyers	\$	407,905	\$	45,471	\$			450 076	^	450 005
Non-lawyers	т.	461,876	4	221,356	Ÿ		\$		\$	470,935
Employee benefits		191,358		52,728		_		683,232		673,925
Space cost and renovations		61,909		57,182		_		244,086		236,714
Equipment rentals and		01,505		57,162		_		119,091		96,058
maintenance		36,418		2				• • • • •		
Office supplies and expenses		•		3 717		_		36,421		80,443
Travel and training		39,439		3,717		-		43,156		43,307
Utilities		54,610		24,452		-		79,062		82,382
Telephone		28,013		916		-		28,929		27,972
-		53,842		4,840		_		58,682		65,364
Insurance		24,739		1,375		_		26,114		22,060
Depreciation		_		_		34,586		34,586		26,096
Donated services		<del>-</del>		62,743		-		62,743		283,753
Contractual services		74,748		20,192		_		94,940		86,278
Membership fees		2,360		8,561		_		10,921		10,707
Litigation costs		1,887		91		_		1,978		1,275
Baton Rouge Bar Foundation		29,997		-		_		29,997		38,000
Interest expense		154		37,859				38,013		13,771
Property management fees		-		4,800		_		4,800		400
Other supplies		3,866		16,902		-		20,768		
Access to Justice		- <b>,</b>		6,884		_		•		7,331
Staff parking		14,885		5,350				6,884		4,599
Audit fees		30,650		7,900		<del>-</del>		20,235		17,728
Fundraising expenses		6,086		6,711		-		38,550		23,734
Miscellaneous		6,432		-		_		12,797		4,997
		0,432		10,646	_	<del>-</del>	_	17,078		19,375 
Total expenses	\$ 1	L,531,174	\$	600,679	\$	34,586	\$	2,166,439	\$	2,337,204
Change in net assets before					_		•			
other changes	\$	(29,909)	\$	53,025	\$	(34,586)	\$	(11,470)	\$	77,483
Other changes:										•
Equipment purchases and										
payments on fixed asset debt		_		(80,926)		80,926		_		_
Change in net assets	\$	(29,909)	\$	(27,901)	<del></del>	46,340	<u> </u>	(11,470)	\$	77,483
Net assets, beginning		19,905		42,320		63,384	•	125,609	-	48,126
Net assets, ending	\$	(10,004)	\$	14,419		<del></del>		<u> </u>		
7	<del>~</del>	(10,004)	구 —~~	73,372	\$ 	109,724	\$	114,139	Ş	125,609

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001

	2002	<u>2001</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Change in net assets	\$ (11,470)	\$ 77,483
Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets	+ (11,10)	4 11,403
to net cash used by operating activities:		
Depreciation	34,586	26,096
Changes in assets and liabilities:	41,500	20,030
(Increase) decrease in assets:		·
Grants and contracts receivable	31,720	(10,940)
Rent receivable	471	(6,325)
Pledges receivable	24,790	(34,370)
Other current receivables	(9,065)	(34,370)
Prepaid expenses	7,579	(5,990)
Utility deposits	- 7070	27
Increase (decrease) in liabilities:		2,
Accounts payable	(17,528)	21,959
Accrued liabilities	(323)	21,000
Undistributed State grant funds to other LSC	(320)	
recipients	(20,500)	20,500
Deferred revenue	(124,173)	(132,589)
Other current liabilities	_	(4,704)
Client trust deposits	894	1,420
		1,420
Net cash used by operating activities	\$ (83,019)	\$ (47,433)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Acquisitions of fixed assets	\$ (41,085)	\$ (470 547)
	<del>4 (41,000</del> )	\$(470,547)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from the issuance of debt	\$ 25,500	\$ 469,790
Principal payments on long-term debt	(54,631)	_ (98,260)
	<del></del> ,	
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	<u>\$ (29,131</u> )	\$ 371,530
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	\$(153,235)	\$(146,450)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning	<u>254,135</u>	<u>40</u> 0,585
Cash and cash equivalents, ending	<u>\$ 100,900</u>	<u>\$ 254,135</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: Cash payments for interest	<u>\$ 38,013</u>	<u>\$ 13,534</u>

See Notes to Financial Statements.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Capital Area Legal Services Corporation (the Corporation) is a nonprofit organization created in 1974 to provide legal assistance in non-criminal proceedings or matters to persons financially unable to afford such counsel. The Corporation is funded primarily by Legal Services Corporation (LSC), a nonprofit corporation established by Congress to administer a nationwide legal assistance program. The Corporation provides legal assistance to residents in the following parishes in the State of Louisiana: Ascension, Assumption, East Baton Rouge, East Feliciana, Iberville, Lafouche, Pointe Coupee, St. Charles, St. James, St. John the Baptist, Terrebonne, and West Feliciana Parishes. The financial statements of the Corporation have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and the requirements of Legal Services Corporation. The more significant accounting policies of the Corporation are described below:

### Basis of accounting:

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred.

## Basis of presentation:

The Corporation's financial statements are prepared in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 117, Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Organizations. Under SFAS No. 117, the Corporation is required to report information regarding its financial position and activities according to three classes of net assets: unrestricted, temporarily restricted, and permanently restricted. All support reported in the Corporation's financial statements are classified as temporarily restricted.

### Use of estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

## Cash and cash equivalents:

For reporting purposes, the Corporation considers all highly liquid investment securities purchased with a maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

## Client trust escrow funds:

Funds received from clients are deposited into a separate cash account and restricted for the payment of expenses in connection with related litigation.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Fixed assets:

Fixed assets are recorded at cost, when purchased, or if donated, at the estimated fair value on the date of donation. Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets using the straight-line method. The following is a summary of the estimated useful lives used:

Buildings and improvements 40 Years
Furniture and equipment 3 - 10 Years
Library 5 Years

## Compensated absences:

Full time employees earn vacation leave at a rate of 10 hours per month. Employees with three to five years of service earn 12 hours per month and employees with five or more years of service earn 14 hours per month of vacation leave. Maximum annual leave that can be carried over to subsequent years is 80 hours. Accrued annual leave at December 31, 2002, is \$37,080.

### Deferred revenue:

Deferred revenue arises when a potential revenue does not meet both the "measurable" and "available" criteria for recognition in the current period.

### Support:

The Corporation recognizes grant funds from LSC as support on a straight-line basis over the grant period. In accordance with LSC regulations, the Corporation may retain unexpended grant funds for use in future periods provided such funds are not in excess of 10% of the recipient's annualized funding and expenses incurred are in compliance with the specified terms of the grant agreement. LSC may, at its discretion, request reimbursement for expenses or return of funds, or both, as a result of noncompliance on behalf of the Corporation with the terms of the grant agreement. In addition, should the Corporation terminate its legal assistance activities, all unexpended funds are to be returned to LSC.

The Corporation also received funds from the following governmental entities: Ascension Parish Government, Assumption Parish Police Jury, City of Baton Rouge - Parish of East Baton Rouge, Iberville Parish Government, Pointe Coupee Parish Police Jury, St. James Parish Government, St. John the Baptist Parish Government, Terrebonne Parish Consolidated Government, and West Feliciana Parish Police Jury to provide civil legal services to low-income and elderly citizens.

Capital Area Legal Services Corporation received funding from the following Council on Aging Agencies: Capital Area Agency on Aging, Inc., Lafourche Council on Aging, Inc., St. Charles Council on Aging, Inc., St. James Area Agency on Aging, St. John Council on Aging, Inc., and Terrebonne Council on Aging, Inc. to provide legal services to elderly citizens under Title III of the Older Americans' Act of 1965.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Corporation also received funds from the following:

- State of Louisiana, Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs to provide legal services to citizens under elderly protective services;
- State of Louisiana, General Appropriation Act 12, State Mergers to offset merger costs associated with the consolidation of legal service corporations in the State;
- State of Louisiana, General Appropriation Act 12, Computer Upgrades to upgrade the computer system including computers, printers,
  website, telephone systems for rural offices, and accounting
  software; and
- Louisiana Bar Foundation, Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts (IOLTA) Program - to provide free legal assistance in civil matters according to the eligibility standards approved by the Board of Directors in accordance with LSC regulations.

### Contributions:

Donated services are recognized as contributions in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 116, Accounting for Contributions Received and Contributions Made, if they create or enhance nonfinancial assets or that require specialized skills, are provided by individuals possessing those skills, and would typically need to be purchased if not provided by donation, are recorded at their fair values in the period received.

## Income taxes:

Capital Area Legal Services Corporation is exempt from Federal income taxes under Section 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code and, accordingly, no provision for income taxes is included in the financial statements. In addition, the Corporation has been classified as an organization that is not a private foundation under Section 509(a)(2).

### Private attorney involvement (PAI):

Legal Services Corporation requires that an amount equal to 12.5% of the basic field award of recipients be devoted to the involvement of private attorneys in the delivery of legal assistance to eligible clients. Expenses incurred in PAI include all expenses directly related to private attorney involvement as well as an allocation of indirect (overhead) expenses. In general, indirect (overhead) expenses are allocated based on the ratio of direct PAI salary costs in relation to total salary costs of all attorneys, paralegals, and support staff.

### Note 2. Cash and Cash Equivalents

At December 31, 2002, the carrying amount of the Corporation's deposits totaled \$100,900 and the bank balances totaled \$121,479. Bank balances are fully insured by Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) coverage at year-end.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Note 3. Grants and Contracts Receivable

Grants and contracts receivable at December 31, 2002, consisted of the following:

Ascension Parish Government	\$ 2,250
Assumption Parish Police Jury	1,050
Terrebonne Parish Consolidated Government	3,550
St. James Area Agency on Aging	 125
Total grant funds receivable	\$ 6,975

### Note 4. Unconditional Promises to Give

Pledges receivable represent promises to give which have been made by donors but not yet received by the Corporation. Pledges that will be received in the subsequent year have been discounted using an estimated rate of return that could be earned if such contributions had been made in the current year. The Corporation considers pledges receivable fully collectible; accordingly, no allowance for uncollectible pledges has been provided.

Unconditional promises to give at December 31, 2002, consisted of the following:

Pledges receivable - current portion	\$ 10,168
Pledges receivable - noncurrent portion	10,167
Total unconditional promises to give	\$ 20,335
Less: discount to present value	(2,805)
Net unconditional promises to give	\$ 17,530

### Note 5. Rental Property

In 2001, the Corporation purchased an office building in Gonzales, Louisiana. The Corporation uses approximately 17% of the building to provide legal services to the residents of Ascension, Assumption, St. James, and St. John the Baptist parishes and leases out approximately 83% of the building to three businesses as follows:

- •Lessee 1: \$800 per month for the period 01/16/03 01/15/04.
- \*Lessee 2: \$1,440 per month for the period 11/01/02 10/31/03.
- ■Lessee 3: \$1,500 per month on a month-to-month basis.

Minimum future rentals to be received under these leases are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

2003	\$ 24,000
2004	 800
Total minimum future rentals	\$ 24,800

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Total rental income recognized for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, totaled \$54,866 and \$5,950, respectively. Rental income receivable at year-end is \$5,854.

### Note 6. Fixed Assets

Fixed assets, including a legal library, are considered owned by the Corporation while in use by the program or in future authorized programs. However, certain funding sources may maintain equitable interest in the property purchased with grant monies as well as the right to determine the use of any proceeds from the sale of these assets. Legal Services Corporation has a reversionary interest in those fixed assets purchased with LSC funds. Fixed assets are recorded at cost and depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the useful lives of the assets. A summary of fixed assets is as follows:

Buildings and improvements	\$ 513,167
Furniture and equipment	306,104
Library	38,557
Total fixed assets	\$ 857,828
Less: accumulated depreciation	(293, 288)
Net fixed assets	\$ 564,540

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, totaled \$34,586 and \$26,096, respectively. Of the total assets, \$30,547 of assets was acquired in prior years through capital leases.

### Note 7. Summary of Funding

The following details the funding of the Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2002:

Funding Source	Period	Support
Legal Services Corporation: Basic Field - General Grant	01/01/02 - 12/31/02	<u>\$1,495,638</u>
Louisiana Bar Foundation: IOLTA Grant	01/01/02 - 12/31/02	<u>\$ 105,533</u>
State of Louisiana, Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs, Elderly Protective Services Program: 2001 - 2002 Grant	07/01/01 - 06/30/02	\$ 68,487
2002 - 2003 Grant Professional services contract Subtotal	07/01/02 - 06/30/03 07/01/01 - 06/30/02	73,812 3,967 \$ 146,266
Subtotal (forward)		\$1,747,437

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Funding Source	Period	Support
Subtotal (forwarded)		\$1,747,437
Capital Area Agency on Aging, Inc.: 2001 - 2002 Grant 2002 - 2003 Grant Subtotal	07/01/01 - 06/30/02 07/01/02 - 06/30/03	\$ 9,689 8,928 \$ 18,617
Lafourche Council on Aging, Inc.: 2001 - 2002 Grant 2002 - 2003 Grant Subtotal	07/01/01 - 06/30/02 07/01/02 - 06/30/03	\$ 2,414 2,536 \$ 4,950
St. Charles Council on Aging, Inc.	07/01/99 - 06/30/03	<u>\$ 1,727</u>
St. James Area Agency on Aging: 2001 - 2002 Grant 2002 - 2003 Grant Subtotal	07/01/01 - 06/30/02 07/01/02 - 06/30/03	\$ 750 750 \$ 1,500
St. John Council on Aging, Inc.	07/01/99 - 06/30/03	\$ 2,058
Terrebonne Council on Aging, Inc.: 2001 - 2002 Grant 2002 - 2003 Grant Subtotal	07/01/01 - 06/30/02 07/01/02 - 06/30/03	\$ 2,620 2,765 \$ 5,385
Ascension Parish Government	01/01/02 - 12/31/02	\$ 15,000
Assumption Parish Police Jury	01/01/02 - 12/31/02	\$ 4,200
City of Baton Rouge - Parish of East Baton Rouge	01/01/02 - 12/31/02	\$ 39,290
Iberville Parish Government	01/01/02 - 12/31/02	\$ 10,000
Pointe Coupee Parish Police Jury	01/01/02 - 12/31/02	\$ 5,800
St. James Parish Government	01/01/02 - 12/31/02	\$ 5,800
St. John the Baptist Parish Government	01/01/02 - 12/31/02	\$ 10,000
Terrebonne Parish Consolidated Government	01/01/02 - 12/31/02	\$ 15,000
West Feliciana Parish Police Jury	01/01/02 - 12/31/02	\$ 6,030
Entergy Charitable Foundation, Utility Advocacy Project	06/01/02 - 12/31/02	\$ 25,000
Subtotal (forward)		\$1,917,794

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Funding Source	Period	Support
Subtotal (forwarded)		\$1,917,794
State of Louisiana, Act 12 Appropriation: Computer Upgrade Assistance Merger Assistance Subtotal		\$ 58,060 13,500 \$ 71,560
Gillis W. Long Poverty Law Center Grant	06/01/02 - 08/31/02	\$ 4,000
Total grants and contracts		<u>\$1,993,354</u>

### Note 8. Lease of Facilities

The Corporation leases various buildings to serve as branch offices. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, the Corporation expended \$72,600 and \$68,725, respectively on lease payments. The following details the written lease agreements in effect for the year ended December 31, 2002:

- Houma office: \$1,050 per month, automatically renews each year.
- Baton Rouge office: \$5,000 per month (for the first year of the lease agreement) for the period 12/01/01 - 11/30/06.

Minimum future lease payments required under the Baton Rouge office operating lease agreement for each of the next four years is as follows:

2003	\$ 62,608
2004	65,112
2005	67,717
2006	 64,342
Total minimum future lease payments	\$ 259 <u>,779</u>

### Note 9. Grants to Other Agencies

For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, the Corporation granted \$29,997 and \$38,000, respectively, of LSC funds to the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation. This subgrantee is a pro bono program, which uses the funds to provide civil legal services to low-income persons eligible for representation by the Corporation. As of December 31, 2002, this subgrantee had expended the entire 2002 grant award.

### Note 10. Donated Services

Donated services are recognized both as support and expenses and therefore do

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

not affect the Corporation's net assets. Donated services, from a variety of unpaid volunteers assisting the Corporation, are valued at the rate normally charged for similar services in the surrounding area.

Donated services received for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, totaled \$62,743 and \$283,753, respectively.

### Note 11. Notes Payable

Notes payable at December 31, 2002, consisted of the following:

Note payable, Whitney National Bank, 7.25% interest, secured by a mortgage on the Corporation's Gonzales building, note dated November 15, 2001, monthly principal and interest payments of \$3,187, final payment due November 15, 2006.

\$ 389,945

Note payable, Hibernia National Bank, 9.50% interest, secured by a mortgage on the Corporation's Donaldsonville building, note dated June 6, 2000, monthly principal and interest payments of \$1,015, final payment due June 6, 2010.

64,871

Note payable, Hancock Bank of Louisiana, 7.00% interest, note dated April 12, 2002, due on demand, if no demand is made, one principal payment of \$25,500 due on March 12, 2003, quarterly interest payments due beginning July 12, 2002.

25,500

Total notes payable

\$ 480,316

Less: current portion of notes payable

(42,012)

Long-term notes payable

<u>\$ 438,304</u>

Maturities of long-term notes payable for each of the next five years and in aggregate thereafter are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,	Whitney	Hibernia	<u>Hancock</u>	<u>Total</u>
2003	\$ 38,241	\$ 12,182	\$ 25,999	\$ 76,422
2004	38,241	12,182	_	50,423
2005	38,241	12,182	-	50,423
2006	379,999	12,182	· _	392,181
2007	_	12,182		12,182
2008 - 2010	_	30,455	-	30 <u>,455</u>
Totals	\$494,722	\$ 91,365	\$ 25,999	\$612,086
Less: interest	(104,777)	(26,494)	(499)	(131,770)
Present value	\$389,945	\$ 64,871	\$ 25,500	<u>\$480,316</u>

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, totaled \$38,013 and \$13,771, respectively.

### Note 12. Contingent Liabilities

A legal malpractice claim has been filed against the Corporation that alleges that the Corporation failed to properly represent a client. Counsel representing Capital Area Legal Services Corporation have taken the position that the plaintiff has no desire to pursue the claim since there has been no movement in the case since March 2000.

#### Note 13. Federal and State Grants

The Corporation participates in a number of Federal and State grant programs that are fully or partially funded by grants received from other governmental entities. The grant programs are subject to audits by agents of the granting authority, the purpose of which is to ensure compliance with conditions precedent to the granting of funds. Any liability for reimbursement, which may arise as a result of these audits, is not believed to be material to the overall financial position of the Corporation.

### Note 14. Deferred Compensation Plan

In 1997, the Corporation established a 403(b)(7)tax-sheltered annuity plan (the Plan) for those employees who meet the eligibility requirements set forth in the Plan. The amount of contributions to the Plan is at the discretion of the Board of Directors; the Board of Directors has established a 3% employer matching contribution rate. The Corporation's matching contributions to the Plan for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, totaled \$29,472 and \$26,571, respectively.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 15. Classification of Expenses

	General					
	Program and					
	S	ervices	Adm:	<u>inistrativ</u>	<u>e</u>	<u>Total</u>
Expenses:						
Salaries and wages:						
Lawyers	\$	350,182	\$	103,194	\$	453,376
Non-lawyers	·	508,257		174,975	·	683,232
Employee benefits		193,870		50,216		244,086
Space cost and renovations		104,800		14,291		119,091
Equipment rentals and maintenance		32,050		4,371		36,421
Office supplies and expenses		36,251		6,905		43,156
Travel and training		64,506		14,556		79,062
Utilities		25,458		3,471		28,929
Telephone		56,340		2,342		58,682
Insurance		19,066		7,048		26,114
Depreciation		28,752		5,834		34,586
Donated services		62,743		-		62,743
Contractual services		77,273		17,667		94,940
Membership fees		2,805		8,116		10,921
Litigation costs		1,887		91		1,978
Baton Rouge Bar Foundation		29,997		_		29,997
Interest expense		6,700		31,313		38,013
Property management fees		_		4,800		4,800
Other supplies		10,066		10,702		20,768
Access to Justice		6,884		_		6,884
Staff parking		15,070		5,165		20,235
Audit fees		10,040		28,510		38,550
Fundraising expenses		6,086		6,711		12,797
Miscellaneous		9,185		7,893		17,078
Total expenses	<u>\$1</u>	<u>,658,268</u>	<u>\$</u>	508 <b>,</b> 171	<u>\$2</u>	<u>,166,439</u>

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

. ..

- 19 **-**

-- -----

## SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES -LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION Year Ended December 31, 2002

	Basic Field					
	Private					
	Gene:	ral	Involv	<u>vement</u>		Total
Support and revenues:						
Legal Services Corporation	\$ 1,30	8,354	\$ 18	7,284	\$	1,495,638
Interest income		1,612		<del></del> -		1,612
Miscellaneous income	<del></del>	4,015				4,015
Total support and revenues	\$ 1,31	3,981	<u>\$ 18</u>	7,284	\$	1,501,265
Expenses:						
Salaries and wages:						
Lawyers	•		\$	6,860	\$	407,905
Non-lawyers		91,884		9,992		461,876
Employee benefits		31,077		0,281		191,358
Space cost and renovations		59,331		2,578		61,909
Equipment rentals and maintenance		35,407		1,011		36,418
Office supplies and expenses		37,841		1,598		39,439
Travel and training		50,933		3,677		54,610
Utilities		27,080		933		28,013
Telephone		52,297		1,545		53,842
Insurance	-	19,798	_	4,941		24,739
Contractual services		-	,	74,748		74,748
Membership fees		2,285		75 54		2,360
Litigation costs		1,833		54		1,887
Baton Rouge Bar Foundation	•	29,997				29,997
Interest expense		154		~		154
Other supplies		3,866		~ C0E		3,866
Staff parking		14,190		695		14,885
Audit fees		26,944		3,706		30,650
Fundraising expenses		6,086		4 500		6,086
Miscellaneous	<b>↓</b>	1,842		4,590		6,432
Total expenses	<u>\$ 1,3</u>	43,890	\$ 18	37,284	\$	1,531,174
Change in net assets	\$ (	29 <b>,</b> 909)	\$		\$	(29,909)

## SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES -LOUISIANA BAR FOUNDATION - IOLTA GRANT Year Ended December 31, 2002

Support and revenues:		
Louisiana Bar Foundation - IOLTA	\$	105,5 <u>33</u>
Expenses:		
Salaries and wages:		•
Lawyers	\$	27,500
Non-lawyers		51,818
Employee benefits		14,120
Travel and training		5,319
Telephone		328
Membership fees		265
Access to Justice		4,383
Audit fees		1,800
Total expenses	· <u>\$</u>	105,533
Change in net assets	<u>\$</u>	<del></del>

# SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS Year Ended December 31, 2002

	Federal	
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	CFDA	Federal
Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Number	Expenditures
Legal Services Corporation:		
Basic Field - General	09.619010	\$1,495,638

## Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of Federal awards includes the Federal grant activity of Capital Area Legal Services Corporation and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements.



122 East 5th St.
P.O. Drawer 307
Crowley, Louisiana
70527-0307
phone: (337) 783-0650
fax: (337) 783-7238

Other Offices:

Lafayette, LA (337) 988-4930

Opelousas, LA (337) 942-5217

Abbeville, LA (337) 898-1497

New Iberia, LA (337) 364-4554

Church Point, LA (337) 684-2855

Eugene C. Gilder, CPA\* Donald W. Kelley, CPA\* Herbert Lemoine II. CPA\* Frank A. Stagno, CPA\* Scott J. Broussard, CPA: L. Charles Abshire, CPA: Kenneth R. Dugas, CPA\* P. John Blanchet III. CPA\* Stephen U. Lambousy, CPA\* Crarg C. Babineaux, CPA+ Peter C. Borrello, CPA<sup>\*</sup> George J. Trappey III, CPA Gregory B. Milton, CPA: S. Scott Sorleau, CPA+ Patrick D. McCarthy, CPA\* Martha B. Wyatt, CPA\* Froy J. Breaux, CPA\* Fayetta T. Dupre', CPA\* Mary A. Castille, CPA\* Joey L. Breaux, CPA\* Terrel P. Dressel, CPA\*

Retiredz

Leon K. Poche', CPA 1984

James H. Breaux, CPA 1987

Erma R. Walton, CPA 1988

George A. Lewis, CPA\* 1992

Geraldine J. Wimberley, CPA\* 1995

Rodney L. Savoy, CPA\* 1996

Larry G. Broussard, CPA\* 1996

Lawrence A. Cramer, CPA\* 1999

Michael P. Crochet, CPA\* 1999

Ralph Friend, CPA 2002

# BROUSSARD, POCHE', LEWIS & BREAUX, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors Capital Area Legal Services Corporation Baton Rouge, Louisiana

We have audited the financial statements of Capital Area Legal Services Corporation (a nonprofit organization) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated April 3, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the provisions of the Audit Guide for Recipients and Auditors and the Compliance Supplement for Audits of LSC Recipients, issued by Legal Services Corporation.

### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Capital Area Legal Services Corporation's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

### Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Capital Area Legal Services Corporation's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect Capital Area Legal

To the Board of Directors Capital Area Legal Services Corporation

Services Corporation's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. These reportable conditions are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items #2002-1, 2002-2, 2002-3, and 2002-4.

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We believe that the reportable conditions described above are material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Audit Committee, Board of Directors, management, Legislative Auditor, Federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities, is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

BROUSSARD, POCHÉ, LEWIS & BREAUX, L.L.P.

Crowley, Louisiana April 3, 2003

122 East 5th St.
P.O. Drawer 307
Crowley, Louisiana
70527-0307
phone: (337) 783-0650

fax: (337) 783-7238

Other Offices:

Lafayette, LA (337) 988-4930

Opelousas, LA (337) 942-5217

Abheville, LA (337) 898-1497

New Iberia, LA (337) 364-4554

Church Point, LA (337) 684-2855

Engene C. Gilder, CPA\* Donald W. Kelley, CPA\* Herbert Lemome II, CPA\* Frank A. Stagno, CPA\* Scott J. Broussard, CPA\* L. Charles Abshire, CPA+ Kenneth R. Dugas, CPA\* P. John Blanchet III, CPA 1 Stephen L. Lambousy, CPA 5 Craig C. Babineaux, CPA\* Peter C. Borrello, CPA+ George I Trappey III, CPA Gregory B. Milton, CPA+ S. Scott Sorleau, CPA 6 Patrick D. McCarthy, CPA\* Martha B. Wyatt, CPA1 Troy J. Breaux, CPA 1 Fayetta T. Dupre', CPA\* Mary A. Castille, CPA 1 Joey L. Breaux, CPA\* Terrel P. Dressel, CPA\*

Retired.

Sidney L. Broussard, CPA 1980

Leon K. Poche', CPA 1984

James H. Breaux, CPA 1987

Erma R. Walton, CPA 1988

George A. Lewis, CPA\* 1992

Geraldine J. Wimberley, CPA\* 1995

Rodney L. Savoy, CPA\* 1996

Larry G. Broussard, CPA\* 1996

Lawrence A. Cramer, CPA\* 1999

Michael P. Crochet, CPA\* 1999

Ralph Friend, CPA 2002

## BROUSSARD, POCHE', LEWIS & BREAUX, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Board of Directors Capital Area Legal Services Corporation Baton Rouge, Louisiana

### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Capital Area Legal Services Corporation (a nonprofit organization) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement and the Compliance Supplement for Audits of LSC Recipients, issued by Legal Services Corporation, that are applicable to each of its major Federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2002. Capital Area Legal Services Corporation's major Federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major Federal programs is the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Capital Area Legal Services Corporation's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations; and the provisions of the Audit Guide for Recipients and Auditors and the Compliance Supplement for Audits of LSC Recipients, issued by Legal Services Corporation. standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Capital Area Legal Services Corporation's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Capital Area Legal Services Corporation's compliance with those requirements.

As described in items #2002-9, 2002-13, and 2002-14 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, Capital Area Legal Services Corporation did not comply with requirements regarding LSC Grant Assurances, Outside Practice of Law, Use on Non-

To the Board of Directors Capital Area Legal Services Corporation

LSC Funds, Eligibility, Restrictions on Lobbying and Certain Other Activities, and Restriction on Solicitation that are applicable to its Basic Field - General grant award. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for Capital Area Legal Services Corporation to comply with requirements applicable to that program.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, Capital Area Legal Services Corporation complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major Federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2002.

## Internal Control over Compliance

The management of Capital Area Legal Services Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to Federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Capital Area Legal Services Corporation's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We noted certain matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over compliance that, in our judgment, could adversely affect Capital Area Legal Services Corporation's ability to administer a major Federal program in accordance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. These reportable conditions are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items #2002-5, 2002-6, 2002-7, 2002-8, 2002-10, 2002-11, and 2002-12.

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants that would be material in relation to a major Federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We believe that the reportable conditions described above are material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Audit Committee, Board of Directors, management, Legislative Auditor, Federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities, is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

BROUSSARD, POCHÉ, LEWIS & BREAUX, L.L.P.

Crowley, Louisiana April 3, 2003

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS Year Ended December 31, 2002

We have audited the financial statements of Capital Area Legal Services Corporation as of and for the year ended December 31, 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated April 3, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations; and the provisions of Legal Services Corporation's Accounting Guide for LSC Recipients and Compliance Supplement for Audits of LSC Recipients. Our audit of the financial statements as of December 31, 2002, resulted in an unqualified opinion.

### Section I. Summary of Auditor's Reports

a.	Report on Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements
	Internal Control  Material Weaknesses <u>'X</u> Yes No Reportable Conditions <u>X</u> Yes No
	Compliance $X = X = X$ No Compliance Material to Financial Statements Yes $X = X$ No
b.	Federal Awards
	Internal Control  Material Weaknesses X Yes No Reportable Conditions X Yes No
	Type of Opinion on Compliance Unqualified X Qualified For Major Programs Disclaimer Adverse
	Are their findings required to be reported in accordance with Circular A-133 Section 510(a)? $X$ Yes No
c.	Identification of Major Programs
	CDFA Number Name of Federal Program
	09.619010 Basic Field - General
	Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B Programs:
	<u>\$ 300,000</u>
	Is the auditee a "low-risk" auditee, as defined by OMB Circular A-133? Yes $X$ No

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section II. Financial Statement Findings

### #2002-1 - Controls Over Payroll

Finding: We noted the following inaccuracies while performing audit procedures relating to payroll checks selected for testing in our examination of controls over disbursements:

- Thirteen of the 25 timesheets examined contained clerical errors.
- Seven of the 25 timesheets examined did not contain the date that the employee and/or supervisor signed the timesheets.
- Twelve of the 25 timesheets examined did not contain the total number of hours worked and 1 of the 25 timesheets examined contained an incorrect total number of hours worked.
- Three of the 25 timesheets examined had incorrect or missing time.
- One of the 19 personnel files examined did not contain a salary authorization form for the employee's current rate of pay.

Recommendation: Although none of the inaccuracies described above resulted in incorrect pay to any employee, it feasibly could have with these kinds of errors. We recommend the following relating to the above inaccuracies:

- All timesheets should be reviewed for clerical accuracy to ensure proper pay.
- All timesheets should be filled out completely and accurately and reviewed by management for missing or incorrect information.
- All personnel files should include a salary authorization form (or other form of supporting documentation) to substantiate each employee's current rate of pay.

Response: In September 2002, we hired a third person for the accounting department. This person is now responsible for the clerical accuracy of payroll time cards. Capital Area Legal Services Corporation has only one hourly employee, her time card was not selected for the testing. Her hours are always totaled prior to submission of the payroll for processing. All other employees are salaried. For these salaried employees, the number of hours on the time card has no direct relation to the number of hours paid on the paycheck. Therefore, no employee could have been over or under paid as a result of the inaccuracy of the time card. (See FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) Management plans to explain to staff, at our training scheduled for April 25, 2003, the importance of completing their time cards properly.

The one employee who did not have salary authorization in his file was paid properly. Documentation was provided to the auditors to prove that the rate at which he was being paid was approved by the Board of Directors. Proper documentation has been placed in his personnel file.

## #2002-2 - Controls Over Purchasing

Finding: The Corporation overpaid a vendor by approximately \$6,000. The overpayment occurred because the Corporation paid & of the estimate for the installation of a telephone system for its Donaldsonville, Gonzales, and Houma offices as a down payment, then when the vendor submitted three separate invoices (one for each office) the Corporation paid each of the invoices in full rather than subtracting

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) Year Ended December 31, 2002

## Section II. Financial Statement Findings (continued)

## #2002-2 - Controls Over Purchasing (continued)

the original down payment amount. This indicates a deficiency in internal controls relating to the purchasing cycle.

Recommendation: We recommend that accounting personnel match up all invoices with the applicable purchase order to determine correctness and that the Director of Finance and Personnel closely evaluate all invoices submitted for payment. We further recommend that the Executive Director carefully examine all check vouchers prepared by the accounting department to ensure propriety.

The normal procedure for the processing of accounts payables is as follows: Response: The Accounting Clerk receives the invoice and checks it for accuracy. The invoice is then matched with the approving documentation, whether it is a purchase order, packing slip, etc. Once the invoice is deemed to be valid, a voucher is prepared for the approval by the Director of Finance and Personnel. Once she reviews it, it is given back to the Accounting Clerk to prepare a check. Once the check is prepared, it'is passed to the Executive Director for his approval and his signature on the attached check. This particular incident was a rare occurrence. Accounting Clerk has been made aware of the severity of this incident. The overpayment has been refunded to Capital Area Legal Services Corporation. With the additional staff in our department, the Director of Finance and Personnel will have more time to carefully review all vouchers to ensure that this type of incident will be avoided in the future. Capital Area Legal Services Corporation has also engaged a CPA firm to do periodic reviews of our financial operations.

## #2002-3 - Monthly St. John Council on Aging Reports

Finding: We noted the following while examining the monthly reports submitted to the St. John Council on Aging:

- The February and March 2002 monthly reports submitted by the Corporation to the grantor, the St. John Council on Aging, were incomplete.
- The May 2002 monthly report submitted by the Corporation to the grantor contained a transposition error that resulted in the Corporation requesting \$72 less than it should have. However, the grantor remitted the correct amount to the Corporation.
- The August and September 2002 monthly reports submitted by the Corporation to the grantor were incorrect in that the monthly expenditures column equaled the expenditures to date column rather than containing only the current month's expenditures. However, the grantor remitted the correct amount to the Corporation.

Recommendation: We recommend that greater care be taken in completing reports to funding agencies and that management review these reports for completeness and accuracy.

Response: The monthly reports are only supplements for the billings that are done. Each report is accompanied with a billing letter indicating what amount is due. The grantor remitted the amount requested.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section II. Financial Statement Findings (continued)

## #2002-4 - Quarterly IOLTA Project Reports

Finding: The fourth quarter 2002 IOLTA Project report submitted by the Corporation to the Louisiana Bar Foundation was inaccurate. The report contained clerical errors in the financial portion and erroneous information in the narrative portion.

Recommendation: We recommend that greater care be taken in completing reports to funding agencies and that management review these reports for completeness and accuracy. We further recommend that a revised report for the fourth quarter 2002 be prepared and submitted to the Louisiana Bar Foundation with the appropriate information corrected.

Response: The clerical error in the financial reporting occurred when the formula for addition was not extended to include the column that had expenses relating to non-IOLTA costs. This resulted in an understatement in the cost of non-IOLTA cost and the total cost to support the IOLTA grant. The total cost associated with the IOLTA grant is correct and the error reported had no effect on any reporting pertaining to the IOLTA grant. All audit responses are submitted to IOLTA and will be discussed with them if necessary.

### Section III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

### #2002-5 - Case Service Reporting

Finding: While performing audit procedures relative to the review of sampled case files, the following inaccuracies were noted:

- The reason for case closure for 4 of the 60 sampled case files was incorrect.
- The Corporation's case management system incorrectly shows 2 of the 60 sampled case files as open when in fact they were closed in the old case management system and that information was inadvertedly not transferred over to the new case management system.
- The problem code for 2 of the 60 sampled case files was incorrect.
- The Corporation's case management system incorrectly shows 2 of the 60 sampled case files as open when in fact they were closed and the case files subsequently destroyed because they were greater than 5 years old.

Recommendation: We recommend the following relating to the above inaccuracies:

- The Corporation should provide adequate guidance to all intake staff and case handlers on correctly defining and coding all case files.
- The Corporation should ensure the accuracy of all case codings posted to the case management system.
- The Corporation should ensure the accuracy of case statistical information reported to LSC.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

## #2002-5 - Case Service Reporting (continued)

- Management should perform periodic reviews of case files to ensure accurate case service reporting.
- The Corporation should review all case files shown as open in the case management system greater than 5 years old to ensure that the cases are still open and active. We noted 617 case files greater than 5 years old shown as open in the case management system.

Response: Capital Area Legal Services Corporation concurs with the recommendation.

The Corporation conducts at least three (3) staff trainings a year that update the staff on proper intake procedures that includes case closure codes.

The Corporation also conducts a self-inspection of a sample of closed cases prior to submitting yearly Case Service Reporting (CSR) data to LSC. The purpose of the self-inspection process is to verify that the CSR data meets LSC standards for accuracy. LSC allows for a 10 percent error rate and Capital Area Legal Services Corporation falls well below the 10 percent.

Management performs reviews of all closed case files to ensure the accuracy of case service reporting.

The Corporation updated its case management software in 2002. This update does not accurately reflect the number of open cases in our current system. To reconcile this situation, Capital Area Legal Services Corporation is in the process of reviewing all open cases in the case management system by individual case handler to determine the status of each open case.

## #2002-6 - Eligibility - Authorized Exceptions

Finding: While performing audit procedures relative to the review of sampled files of over income clients served, the following inaccuracies were noted:

- We could not recalculate the monthly income amount on the intake application, which is used to determine financial eligibility, based on the supporting documentation contained in 2 of the 5 sampled files of over income clients served. Due to this error, the waiver of financial eligibility, which the Executive Director uses to make a determination relative to case acceptance, was incorrectly prepared.
- One of the 5 sampled files of over income clients served did not contain a decision relative to case acceptance on the waiver of financial eligibility. The case file was closed because the applicant had monthly income over the established eligibility guidelines; therefore, services were not provided to the applicant over the established eligibility guidelines, but documentation regarding that determination is absent from the case file.
- One of the 5 sampled files of over income clients served did not contain a waiver of financial eligibility and the case management form indicates that the funding source is LSC; therefore, the requirements of 45 CFR \$1611.4, Eligibility Authorized Exceptions, are applicable. Per the case record sheet, the case file was reviewed and the applicant was determined to be eligible under

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

## #2002-6 - Eligibility - Authorized Exceptions (continued)

 the elderly grant. Therefore, the case management form in the case management system should have been updated to reflect a non-LSC funding source, thereby eliminating this LSC requirement.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Corporation ensure that all intake staff and case handlers understand and adhere to the policies and procedures relative to determining and documenting financial eligibility. We also recommend that management perform periodic reviews of case files to ensure that the computation of monthly income is accurate and that the determination of case acceptance is proper given the circumstances particular to each case. We further recommend that each file of over income clients served contain a waiver of financial eligibility that documents the Executive Director's determination of case acceptance.

Response: Capital Area Legal Services Corporation concurs with the recommendation.

The Corporation will continue to train its intake staff by conducting staff trainings to ensure the accuracy of determining financial eligibility.

Management already performs case reviews of all closed files.

The Executive Director reviews each file of over income clients that requires a waiver of financial eligibility before determining if the case will be accepted. As noted, on at least two (2) files, he requested additional information that would be needed to approve the waiver.

## #2002-7 - Eligibility - Maximum Income Level

Finding: While reviewing the Corporation's eligibility guidelines for 2001 we noted the following problems:

- The annual income amount for a family of 8 was incorrectly computed as a result of a transposition error made by the Compliance Officer. This error carries forward into the computation of the monthly and weekly income amounts, which are used by the intake staff and case handlers to determine financial eligibility.
- The monthly income amount for a family of 4 was incorrectly computed as a result of a transposition error made by the Compliance Officer.

Recommendation: Although none of the sampled case files inspected were incorrectly accepted for representation based on the above miscalculations, they feasibly could have with this kind of error. We recommend that the Compliance Officer carefully calculate the financial eligibility guidelines based on 125% of the Federal Poverty Income Guidelines, as required by 45 CFR §1611.3, Eligibility - Maximum Income Level. We further recommend that the Director of Finance and Personnel review the calculation to ensure accuracy.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

## #2002-7 - Eligibility - Maximum Income Level (continued)

Response: Capital Area Legal Services Corporation concurs with the recommendation.

The Corporation will have the "Maximum Income Level" calculations on its financial eligibility guideline reviewed by management prior to its corporate-wide institution.

### #2002-8 - Eligibility - Retainer Agreement

Finding: While performing audit procedures relative to the review of sampled case files, the following inaccuracies were noted:

- We noted that the monthly income amount from the manual intake sheet for one case file was incorrectly entered into the case management system. Although this applicant's eligibility determination was not affected by this error, it feasibly could have been with this kind of an error.
- We noted that the number of adults and children from the manual intake sheet for one case file was incorrectly entered into the case management system. Although this applicant's eligibility determination was not affected by this error, it feasibly could have been with this kind of an error.
- We noted that the retainer agreement in one case file was not signed by the client as required by 45 CFR §1611.8, Eligibility - Retainer Agreement.

Recommendation: We recommend the following relating to the above inaccuracies:

- Greater care should be taken in inputting all information from the manual intake sheets into the case management system to ensure that applicants are properly approved or rejected for legal services.
- The Corporation should ensure that all intake staff and case handlers understand and adhere to the requirements of 45 CFR \$1611.8.
- Management should perform periodic reviews of case files to ensure that the requirements of 45 CFR §1611.8 are consistently met.

Response: Capital Area Legal Services Corporation concurs with the recommendation.

The Corporation will ensure that the staff will receive further training on our case management system and the Legal Services rules and regulations. The self-inspection procedure ensures that we are meeting the requirements of 45 CFR \$1611.8.

### #2002-9 - LSC Grant Assurances

Finding: The LSC Fiscal Year 2002 Grant Assurances states that the Corporation will adopt an Equal Opportunity Policy Statement and Sexual Harassment Policy and that each of them will have been reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors within the last three years. The Corporation has adopted an Equal Opportunity Policy Statement that was revised January 1, 1993, and it is incorporated into the personnel manual. The Corporation has also adopted a Sexual Harassment Policy that was approved by the Board of Directors on January 31, 1994, and is incorporated into the personnel manual. However, the Corporation has not reviewed and approved these policies since that time.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) Year Ended December 31, 2002

## Section III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

## #2002-9 - LSC Grant Assurances (continued)

Recommendation: We recommend that the Board of Directors review and approve both the Equal Opportunity Policy Statement and Sexual Harassment Policy as soon as practical for submission to LSC. We further recommend that the Board of Directors review each of these policies every three years as required by the LSC Grant Assurances.

Response: Capital Area Legal Services Corporation concurs with the recommendation.

The Corporation will have its Equal Opportunity Policy and Sexual Harassment Policy reviewed by the Board of Directors at its April 2003 meeting for submission to LSC. Capital Area Legal Services Corporation will also place the three (3) year review and approval date calendared in its automated calendar system.

## #2002-10 - Subgrant Agreement - Case Handling

Finding: While performing audit procedures relative to the review of sampled case files, the following deficiency related to cases referred to the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation was noted:

- The Corporation's case management system shows 5 of the 60 sampled case files referred to the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation as open at year-end. Since these case files date back to 1995 we asked the Pro Bono Coordinator for an update on the case statuses and were informed of the following:
  - Three of the case files were closed by the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation and the necessary closing documents and case files were not forwarded to the Corporation for closure in the case management system.
  - One of the case files is no longer active but the necessary closing documents and case file have not been forwarded to the Corporation from the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation for closure in the case management system.
  - o No update could be obtained for one of the case files referred to the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation, thus no determination of case status could be made.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Pro Bono Coordinator obtain the necessary closing documents from the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation for inclusion in the applicable case files. We further recommend that these case files be closed in the case management system to ensure accurate case service reporting. Additionally, we recommend that a system of monitoring cases referred to the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation be developed and implemented by management and overseen by the Pro Bono Coordinator.

Response: Capital Area Legal Services Corporation concurs with the recommendation.

The Corporation is in the process of implementing this system of monitoring.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

### #2002-11 - Subgrant Agreement - General

Finding: The subgrant agreement between the Corporation and the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation incorrectly states an ending date of December 31, 2001, rather than December 31, 2002, and the amended subgrant agreement incorrectly states that the term of the agreement is for 8 ½ months rather than 9 ½ months. Additionally, the Corporation did not make a timely request for approval from LSC for the original subgrant agreement for the term of January 1, 2002 - December 31, 2002. Therefore, LSC did not grant the Corporation's request for approval of a January 1, 2002, beginning date, but instead approved a beginning date of March 15, 2002, thereby reducing the amount of funding provided to the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation by approximately 21%.

Recommendation: We recommend that management carefully prepare, review, and execute all subgrant agreements. We further recommend that the Corporation make a timely request for approval from LSC of all subgrant agreements to ensure maximum benefit is received by the subgrantee and the Corporation.

Response: Capital Area Legal Services Corporation disagrees with this finding.

The Corporation was informed by LSC that they did not have the subgrant agreement. We had sent the agreement, but did not have proof that it was sent. So in March, we resent the agreement to LSC. When LSC only approved the subgrant from March 15 - December 31, 2002, the subgrantee was paid accordingly. The number of cases handled by the subgrantee was not reduced. We have sent and received an approval for the subgrant for the year 2003.

### #2002-12 - Subgrant Agreement - Monitoring

Finding: The subgrant agreement between the Corporation and the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation requires that the subgrantee, "perform quarterly monitoring, management, and reporting to [the Corporation] on all open case files referred by [the Corporation]." The subgrantee is also required to, "provide [the Corporation] with copies of [their] internal status reports used in the monitoring of open case files." We discussed this requirement of the subgrant agreement with the Pro Bono Coordinator, who informed us that the subgrantee does provide quarterly reports to the Corporation, but those reports only contain information on approximately 200 case files each quarter and the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation has over 800 open case files referred by the Corporation as of April 2, 2003.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Corporation request copies of the Baton Rouge Bar Foundation's internal status reports for all open case files at year-end. We also recommend that the system of monitoring cases referred to the subgrantee be implemented by management and overseen by the Pro Bono Coordinator.

Response: Capital Area Legal Services Corporation concurs with the recommendation.

The Corporation is in the process of implementing the system.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

### #2002-13 - Policies and Procedures

### Finding:

- Policy Governing the Outside Practice of Law Section IV Compensated Outside Practice - Subsection B: the requirement of 45 CFR \$1604.4(b), Outside Practice of Law - Compensated Outside Practice, that requires all attorneys remit to the Corporation all compensation received resulting from a court appointment is missing from the Corporation's policies and procedures relating to the outside practice of law.
- Policy Prohibiting Use of Funds Section IV Authorized Use of Other Funds Subsection B: the underlined portion of the following requirement of 45 CFR \$1610.4(b), Use of Non-LSC Funds Authorized Use of Non-LSC Funds, is missing from the Corporation's policies and procedures relating to the use of non-LSC funds: "a recipient may receive public or IOLTA funds and use them in accordance with the specific purposes for which they were provided, if the funds are not used for any activity prohibited by or inconsistent with Section 504."
- Financial Eligibility Policy: the requirement of 45 CFR \$1611.9, Eligibility Change in Circumstances, is missing from the Corporation's policies and procedures relating to eligibility.
- Restriction on Lobbying and in Certain Other Activities: the requirement of 45 CFR \$1612.5(c)(1), Restrictions on Lobbying and Certain Other Activities Permissible Activities Using Any Funds, is missing from the Corporation's policies and procedures relating to restrictions on lobbying.
- Restriction on Solicitation Policy Section IV Exemption: the requirement of 45 CFR \$1638.4(c), Restriction on Solicitation - Permissible Activities, is missing from the Corporation's policies and procedures relating to restrictions on solicitation.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Corporation review all of LSC's regulations to ensure that all requirements, conditions, prohibitions, and restrictions of those regulations are incorporated into their internal policies and procedures.

Response: Capital Area Legal Services Corporation concurs with the recommendation.

The Corporation will submit revisions to its policies, Governing the Outside Practice of Law, Prohibiting Use of Funds, Financial Eligibility, Restricting Lobbying and in Certain Other Activities, Restricting Solicitation, at its April 2003 Board of Directors meeting. Capital Area Legal Services Corporation will also have all its policies reviewed by its Board of Directors to ensure that all LSC requirements, conditions, prohibitions, and restrictions are incorporated into its internal policies and procedures.

### #2002-14 - Use of Non-LSC Funds

Finding: Capital Area Legal Services Corporation is not in compliance with 45 CFR §1610.5, Use of Non-LSC Funds - Notification, which states that no recipient of LSC funds may accept funds from any source other than LSC unless they provide written notification of the prohibitions and conditions which apply to the funds.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

#2002-14 - Use of Non-LSC Funds (continued)

Recommendation: We recommend that the Corporation provide written notification to all funding sources of the prohibitions and conditions which apply to the use of non-LSC funds as required by 45 CFR §1610.5.

Response: During the fiscal year 2002, Capital Area Legal Services Corporation did not provide written notification to all its funders for the year. The only new sources of revenue that the Corporation had was from donations received during our fund-raising drive. Any contributors donating more than \$250 to Capital Area Legal Services Corporation did receive an acknowledgement letter. We did not send letters to funders who have received letters in the past. This is recurring revenue and the grants are the same from prior years. If necessary, Capital Area Legal Services Corporation will send letters to all funding sources on an annual basis.

### SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section I. Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements

NC-1 - Form 5500 has not been filed for Capital Area Legal Services Corporation's Deferred Compensation Plan

Status: RESOLVED

IC-1 - Some Policies and Procedures are Not Being Followed as Prescribed

Status: RESOLVED

IC-2 - The Knowledge and Skills of Key Employees Has Not Kept Pace with Capital Area Legal Services Corporation's Growth and Expansion

Status: RESOLVED

IC-3 - Board of Directors and Audit Committee Participation

Status: RESOLVED

IC-4 - Assignment of Authority and Responsibility

Status: RESOLVED

IC-5 - Prior Year Findings Have Not Been Corrected

Status: RESOLVED

### Section II. Internal Control and Compliance Material to Federal Awards

Findings IC-1 through IC-5 described above also apply to the Corporation's Federal award programs because the same internal control system is used for all of the Corporation's activities. Likewise, the status of each of the findings described above also apply to the Corporation's Federal award programs.

### Section III. Management Letter

ML-1 - Notification Requirements Relating to the Use of Non-LSC Funds Pursuant to 45 CFR §1610

Status: This finding is unresolved and repeated for the year ended December 31, 2002, and is described at #2002-14 in the schedule of findings and questioned costs.

ML-2 - Monitoring and Subgrants Pursuant to 45 CFR \$1627

Status: RESOLVED

# SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS (CONTINUED) Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section III. Management Letter (continued)

ML-3 - Disclosure of Case Information Pursuant to 45 CFR \$1644

Status: RESOLVED

ML-4 - Cost Standards and Procedures Pursuant to 45 CFR §1630.4 and Timekeeping Pursuant to 45 CFR §1635.3

Status: RESOLVED

ML-5 - Fixed Asset Inventory

Status: RESOLVED

ML-6 - Paying Vendors

Status: RESOLVED

ML-7 - Poverty Guideline Approval

Status: RESOLVED

ML-8 - Legal and Tax Alerts

Status: RESOLVED

ML-9 - Travel Advances

Status: RESOLVED

ML-10 - Elderly Protective Services

Status: RESOLVED

ML-11 - IOLTA Program

Status: RESOLVED

### LAW OFFICES OF



# CAPITAL AREA LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

200 Third St. Baton Rouge, La 70801

Tel. (225) 387-5173 Fax (225) 387-4802 (Administration)

JAMES A. WAYNE, SR., Executive Director

MANAGEMENT'S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section I. Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements

### #2002-1 - Controls Over Payroll

In September 2002, we hired a third person for the accounting department. This person is now responsible for the clerical accuracy of payroll time cards. Capital Area Legal Services Corporation has only one hourly employee, her time card was not selected for the testing. Her hours are always totaled prior to submission of the payroll for processing. All other employees are salaried. For these salaried employees, the number of hours on the time card has no direct relation to the number of hours paid on the paycheck. Therefore, no employee could have been over or under paid as a result of the inaccuracy of the time card. (See FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) Management plans to explain to staff, at our training scheduled for April 25, 2003, the importance of completing their time cards properly:

The one employee who did not have salary authorization in his file was paid properly. Documentation was provided to the auditors to prove that the rate at which he was being paid was approved by the Board of Directors. Proper documentation has been placed in his personnel file.

## #2002-2 - Controls Over Purchasing

The normal procedure for the processing of accounts payables is as follows: The Accounting Clerk receives the invoice and checks it for accuracy. The invoice is then matched with the approving documentation, whether it is a purchase order, packing slip, etc. Once the invoice is deemed to be valid, a voucher is prepared for the approval by the Director of Finance and Personnel. Once she reviews it, it is given back to the Accounting Clerk to prepare a check. Once the check is prepared, it is passed to the Executive Director for his approval and his signature on the attached check. This particular incident was a rare occurrence. The Accounting Clerk has been made aware of the severity of this incident. The overpayment has been refunded to Capital Area Legal Services Corporation. With the additional staff in our department, the Director of Finance and Personnel will have more time to carefully review all vouchers to ensure that this type of incident will be avoided in the future. Capital Area Legal Services Corporation has also engaged a CPA firm to do periodic reviews of our financial operations.

## #2002-3 - Monthly St. John Council on Aging Reports

The monthly reports are only supplements for the billings that are done. Each report is accompanied with a billing letter indicating what amount is due. The grantor remitted the amount requested.

## MANAGEMENT'S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN Year Ended December 31, 2002

# Section I. Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements (Continued)

## #2002-4 - Quarterly IOLTA Project Reports

The clerical error in the financial reporting occurred when the formula for addition was not extended to include the column that had expenses relating to non-IOLTA costs. This resulted in an understatement in the cost of non-IOLTA cost and the total cost to support the IOLTA grant. The total cost associated with the IOLTA grant is correct and the error reported had no effect on any reporting pertaining to the IOLTA grant. All audit responses are submitted to IOLTA and will be discussed with them if necessary.

## Section II. Internal Control and Compliance Material to Federal Awards

### #2002-5 - Case Service Reporting

The Corporation conducts at least three (3) staff trainings a year that update the staff on proper intake procedures that includes case closure codes.

The Corporation also conducts a self-inspection of a sample of closed cases prior to submitting yearly Case Service Reporting (CSR) data to LSC. The purpose of the self-inspection process is to verify that the CSR data meets LSC standards for accuracy. LSC allows for a 10 percent error rate and Capital Area Legal Services Corporation falls well below the 10 percent.

Management performs reviews of all closed case files to ensure the accuracy of case service reporting.

The Corporation updated its case management software in 2002. This update does not accurately reflect the number of open cases in our current system. To reconcile this situation, Capital Area Legal Services Corporation is in the process of reviewing all open cases in the case management system by individual case handler to determine the status of each open case.

## #2002-6 - Eligibility - Authorized Exceptions

The Corporation will continue to train its intake staff by conducting staff trainings to ensure the accuracy of determining financial eligibility.

Management already performs case reviews of all closed files.

The Executive Director reviews each file of over income clients that requires a waiver of financial eligibility before determining if the case will be accepted. As noted, on at least two (2) files, he requested additional information that would be needed to approve the waiver.

## #2002-7 - Eligibility - Maximum Income Level

The Corporation will have the "Maximum Income Level" calculations on its financial eligibility guideline reviewed by management prior to its corporate-wide institution.

### MANAGEMENT'S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN Year Ended December 31, 2002

## Section II. Internal Control and Compliance Material to Federal Awards (Continued)

## #2002-8 - Eligibility - Retainer Agreement

The Corporation will ensure that the staff will receive further training on our case management system and the Legal Services rules and regulations. The self-inspection procedure ensures that we are meeting the requirements of 45 CFR §1611.8.

### #2002-9 - LSC Grant Assurances

The Corporation will have its Equal Opportunity Policy and Sexual Harassment Policy reviewed by the Board of Directors at its April 2003 meeting for submission to LSC. Capital Area Legal Services Corporation will also place the three (3) year review and approval date calendared in its automated calendar system.

## #2002-10 - Subgrant Agreement - Case Handling

The Corporation is in the process of implementing this system of monitoring.

## #2002-11 - Subgrant Agreement - General

Capital Area Legal Services Corporation disagrees with this finding.

## #2002-12 - Subgrant Agreement - Monitoring

The Corporation is in the process of implementing the system.

## #2002-13 - Policies and Procedures

The Corporation will submit revisions to its policies, Governing the Outside Practice of Law, Prohibiting Use of Funds, Financial Eligibility, Restricting Lobbying and in Certain Other Activities, Restricting Solicitation, at its April 2003 Board of Directors meeting. Capital Area Legal Services Corporation will also have all its policies reviewed by its Board of Directors to ensure that all LSC requirements, conditions, prohibitions, and restrictions are incorporated into its internal policies and procedures.

### #2002-14 - Use of Non-LSC Funds

During the fiscal year 2002, Capital Area Legal Services Corporation did not provide written notification to all its funders for the year. The only new sources of revenue that the Corporation had was from donations received during our fund-raising drive. Any contributors donating more than \$250 to Capital Area Legal Services Corporation did receive an acknowledgement letter. We did not send letters to funders who have received letters in the past. This is recurring revenue and the grants are the same from prior years. If necessary, Capital Area Legal Services Corporation will send letters to all funding sources on an annual basis.

## MANAGEMENT'S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN Year Ended December 31, 2002

### Section III. Management Letter

There were no matters reported in a separate management letter for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Responsible Party: James A. Wayne, Sr., Executive Director